Dedicated to the people who lost their lives and injured by the 2023 Pazarcık and Ekinözü Earthquakes of Türkiye

A QUICK REPORT ON PAZARCIK AND EKİNÖZÜ EARTHQUAKES (TÜRKİYE) OF FEBRUARY 6, 2023

Ömer AYDAN* and Reşat ULUSAY**

* University of the Ryukyus, Okinawa, Japan ** Hacettepe University, Ankara, Türkiye

15 February 2023

A QUICK REPORT ON PAZARCIK AND EKİNÖZÜ EARTHQUAKES (TÜRKİYE) OF FEBRUARY 6, 2023

Ömer AYDAN* and Reşat ULUSAY**

* University of the Ryukyus, Okinawa, Japan ** Hacettepe University, Ankara, Türkiye

ATTENTION

Please note that this document is prepared with a sole purpose to provide an overview of various aspects of the earthquake for researchers, who would be involved with this earthquake.

The pictures and some figures are obtained from various sources with due references available in various web-sites. The figures drawn by the authors of this report are cited as (DbA) next to them.

The major source of the pictures relevant to the aspects of this document are obtained from the web-sites of the mass media of Türkiye and relevant institutes and they are gratefully acknowledged for the information through images and data of the earthquake:

https://www.aa.com.tr/tr https://www.dha.com.tr/ https://www.trt.net.tr/ https://www.emsc-csem.org/#2 https://www.usgs.gov/ https://www.usgs.gov.tr https://www.koeri.boun.edu.tr https://www.afad.gov.tr https://www.maxar.com/open-data/turkey-earthquake-2023

CONTENT

LOCATIONS MAIN CHARACTERISTICS OF THE EARTHQUAKES **TECTONICS GEOLOGY** PRE- AND POST- SEISMICITY FOCAL MECHANISMS AND ASSOCIATED STRESS FIELD SURFACE DEFORMATION FROM GPS and DINSAR STRONG MOTION RECORDS TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES Roadways **Tunnels** Railways Ports **GEOTECHNICAL DAMAGES** Soil Slopes **Rock Slopes Rock Falls** Ground liquefaction and lateral spreading DAMS LIFELINES DAMAGED AND COLLAPSED STRUCTURES **RC** Buildings **Masonary Structures Historical Structures** SEARCH AND RESCUE **EVACUATION AND IMMEDIATE HOUSING** UNUSUAL OBSERVATIONS References

LOCATIONS

PAZARCIK EARTHQUAKE

EMSC manual location M:7.8 2023/02/06 - 01:17:36 UTC Lat: 37.17 Lon: 37.08 Depth: 20 km Population: 59 Millions inhabitants in a radius of 400 km from the earthquake epicenter



★ 150 - 300 km ★ > 300 km

(from CSEM-EMSC)

EKİNÖZÜ EARTHQUAKE

EMSC manual location M:7.5 2023/02/06 - 10:24:49 UTC Lat: 38.11 Lon: 37.24 Depth: 10 km Population: 57 Millions inhabitants in a radius of 400 km from the earthquake epicenter



Depth

☆ 0 - 40 km ☆ 40 - 80 km 🚖 80 - 150 km 🚖 150 - 300 km

20 km Political boundaries Tectonic plates boundaries (Bird, P. [2003])



^{★ &}gt; 300 km

MAIN CHARACTERISTICS OF THE EARTHQUAKES

The Pazarcık earthquake occurred at 4:17 (TST) on February 6, 2023 and the Ekinözü earthquake occurred at 13:24 on the same day after about 9 hours. The earthquake involve rupturing on the segments of East Anadolu Fault (EAF) and Dead-Sea Fault. The initial total length of Pazarcık earthquake was about 210-230 km and reach to a total length of 400-450 km. Ekinözü earthquake E-W trending Çardak and Sürgü faults with a total length of 120-130 km. As of 15 February 2023,

Number of casualties is 35.418.

Number of injured people is more than 105.505.

Number of after shocks is more than 1.900.

10 provinces with a total population of 15 million people were affected.

Affected provinces



Mediterranean Sea

MAIN CHARACTERISTICS OF THE EARTHQUAKES

PAZARCIK EARTHQUAKE

The earthquake occurred at 4:17:35 (TST) (1:17:35 GMT) on NE-SW trending fault with sinistral slip. This fault might be a combined slip of East Anatolian Fault and Dead-Sea Fault. Moment magnitude of this earthquake has been estimated by different institutes and they range between 7.7 and 8.0.

Institute	Mw	LAT	LON	Depth	Fault Plane		Auxiliary Plane			
				(km)	Strike	Dip	Rake	Strike	Dip	Rake
QCMT	7.8	37.6	37.5	15	54	70	11	320	80	160
USGS	7.9	37.4	37.8	33	234	79	14	142	76	169
KOERI	7.7	37.1	37.1	10	222	64	-27	324	65	-152
ERD	7.8	37.2	37.1	18	233	74	18	140	77	168
IPGP	8.0	37.2	37.0	13	230	81	-18	323	72	-171

Moment Tensor map of earthquake: Mag: 7.8 2023-02-06 01:17:36 UTC





Political boundaries

Tectonic plates boundaries (Bird, P. [2003])

Among all focal plane solutions, focal plane by KOERI is close to the actual situations.

(from CSEM-EMSC)

EKİNÖZÜ EARTHQUAKE

The earthquake occurred at 13:24:49 (TST) (10:24:49 GMT) on almost E-W trending fault with sinistral slip. This fault might be a combined slip of Çardak and Sürgü Faults. Moment magnitude of this earthquake has been estimated by different institutes and they range between 7.6 and 7.7.

Institute	Mw	LAT	LON Depth		Fault Plane			Auxiliary Plane		
			(KM)	Strike	Dip	Rake	Strike	Dip	Rake	
QCMT	7.7	38.1	37.2	12	261	42	-8	358	84	-132
USGS	7.6	38.0	37.2	19	276	82	-6	6	85	-172
KOERI	7.6	38.0	37.3	10	273	67	-9	6	81	-157
ERD	7.6	38.0	37.2	16	174	90	13	358	73	174
IPGP	7.7	38.0	37.2	13	270	60	-9	5	82	-150

Moment Tensor map of earthquake: Mag: 7.5 2023-02-06 10:24:49 UTC Lat: 38.11 Lon: 37.24 Depth: 10.0 km





Earthquake occurred in north dipping fault and large ground susidence occurred on the northern part.

(from CSEM-EMSC)

300 km Political boundaries Tectonic plates boundaries (Bird, P. [2003])

Estimation of the Earthquake Parameters

Estimation of the earthquake parameters from fault length (based on relations of Aydan, 2012, 2023). AMAX and VMAX are computed for a hypocenter distance of 14 km and surface shear wave velocity of 300 m/s.

Length	Mw	AMAX (gals)	VMAX (kines)	DMAX (cm)	Tr (s)
147	7.6	1020,3	72.9	506	35.6
195	7.8	1217.6	87.0	721	45.8
222	7.9	1320.7	94.3	848.4	51.4
255	8.0	1440.6	102.3	1009.5	58.2





(From Palutoğlu and Şaşmaz, 2017)

GEOLOGY Regional Geology of the Affected Region

TÜRKİYE JEOLOJİ HARİTASI / GEOLOGICAL MAP OF TURKEY



Geology and Faults of Hatay (Antakya)



(From Korkmaz, 2006)

Geology and Faults of Osmaniye



Geology and Faults of Kahramanmaraş



(From Emre et al., 2013)



Geology and Faults of Malatya



(From Önal, 2007)



(DbA, base map by Aydan, unpublished)



The Pazarcık earthquake involved EAFZ (2 segments) and DSF-Death Sea Fault (1 segment). The total length of the surface rupture could be in the order of 210-230 kms.



(DbA, base map by Aydan, unpublished)

FOCAL MECHANISM AND ASSOCIATED STRESS FIELD

THE PAZARCIK EARTHQUAKE

FOCAL MECHANISM



Inferred crustal stresses of the epicentral area for focal plane solution by KOERI using Aydan's Method (Aydan 2000a, 2016, 2020). KOERI focal mechanism involves normal component as observed in-situ (DbA).

ASSOCIATED STRESS FIELD

THE EKİNÖZÜ EARTHQUAKE



EQUAL ANGLE PROJECTION

Inferred crustal stresses of the epicentral area for focal plane solution by KOERI using Aydan's Method (Aydan 2000a, 2016, 2020). Pazarcık earthquake greately altered the stress state. On the northern side, some downward motions are reported (DbA)

CRUSTAL STRESSES



Annual pricipal stress rates of Türkiye (Aydan, 2000b) inferred from GPS measurements



Maximum horizontal stress directions (Aydan, 2020)

SURFACE DEFORMATION FROM GPS & DINSAR GPS



^{34°} ^{36°} ^{38°} ^{40°} ^{42°} ^{44°} Displacement reflects the expected faulting movements

(From Nevada Geodetic Laboratory /NVGeodeticLab/status/1625241970460491777/photo/1)



The Pazarcık earthquake caused about 5-6 m sinistral slip and the distribution has a parabolic shape. While the Ekinözü earthquake has the same sense of relative slip, the shape is triangular



Relative slip is generally more than 300 cm in many rupture observations and the southern rupture has also normal component. This may also explain why some part of İskenderun city subsided and immersed by sea-water. Şekeroba – Kırıkhan segment is on Dead Sea fault.



Turkish Strong Motion Network (TADAS) has recorded the motions induced by Pazarcık and Ekinözü earthquakes. In this report, the strong caused by the Pazarcık earthquakes motion are reported and discussed. The stations along the faut rupture and Arabian Plate recorded much higher ground motions and duration of shaking was more than 70 seconds and it was about more than 220 seconds at Sanlı Urfa. Next figures show strong motion records for selected 16 cities. Response spectra of 12 cities are plotted. The response spectra generally exceed the seismic design spectra of Türkiye. Furthermore, maximum ground accelerations in affected cities exceed the maximum ground acceleration assumed in the seismic code particularly at locations near earthquake rupture. This simply implies that the design code should be revised.



NS EW ŪD

NS EW UD

NS EW UD

NS EW UD





Response Spectra of the selected stations





Response spectra of selected acceleration records near the fault



TSC: Turkish Seismic Code

Response spectra of acceleration records at the selected heavily damaged cities



TSC: Turkish Seismic Code

Attenuation of Strong Motions with Distance



Orginal drawings from Aydan 2012, DbA)



The vertical component of strong motions near the fault rupture is quite high and it decades with distance



Most of RC buildings has 5 to 14 stories. The estimated natural frequency for the first mode ranges between 0.3 to 0.9 seconds, this might have an influence on their collapses. If the design and construction were properly done according to the Turkish Seismic Code, this scale damage would not occur

TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES Roadways



The map of Damaged Roadways from KGM (General Directorate of Highways of Turkey) (yellow lines indicates roadways closed to traffic due to damage)

Damage occurred due to

- 1) Separation or collision of bridge deck with abutments
- 2) Faulting induced deformations
- 3) Retaining wall failures
- 4) Deformation of pavement (separation or buckling=)
- 5) Fall of bridge decks
- 6) Tunnel damage
- 7) Embankment failures

The above damages occurred along Tarsus-Adana-Gaziantep Highway, Malatya Adıyaman Highway, Kahramanmaraş-Malatya highways.

Roadways



Separation or collision of bridge deck with abutments



Bridge deck fall

Hatay Airport road



Damage of Tarsus Adana Gaziantep (TAG) near Islahiye by the fault rupture





(Photos: Internet)

Toppled stationary vehicles



Adıyaman-Malatya highway





Tunnel Damages

Rockfalls at the portal and spalling of concrete lining of the Erkenek Tunnel occurred as reported by KGM. One of Erkenek Tunel tubes is closed to traffic while the other is utilised for traffic despite some spalling location of the lining were reported at some locations. The tunnel was almost on the activated Erkenek fault.



Location of Erkenek tunnel



Traces and Rockfalls above the portals of Erkenek tunnel (Photo: Internet)

Spalling of concrete linings in Erkenek Tunnel







Locations of the damaged railway lines (from TCDD)

Damage was mainly caused by deformation of rails whereever earthquake faults crossed. In addition, trains and wagons were derailed or toppled. Rockfalls and slope failures also caused obstructions.



Derailed and toppled wagons at Gölbaşı Train Station







(Photos: Internet)

Deformed rails in Gölbaşı





Islahiye-Fevzipaşa Train Station



(Photos: Internet)







Airports



Airports of Türkiye. The circle indicates the airports in the epicentral area (Photos: Internet)



Gaziantep Airport at the time of Pazarcık earthquake occurred. Note the small pieces of debris falling from the suspended ceilings. Similar situation occurred at Malatya Airport.

Hatay Airport

(Photos: Internet)



Ground settled around the terminal building at Hatay Airport



Under reparation

Runway buckled at Hatay Airport

Runway was repaired on February 11, 2023





First flight was done on February 12, 2023

Ports

Iskenderun Port















Fire at Iskenderun Port



GEOTECHNICAL DAMAGES

Rockfalls

















Slope Failures (Landslides)









(Photos: Internet)

Liquefaction and Lateral Spreading





Antakya-Reyhanlı Highway







Near Çöçelli village





Gölbaşı

Sinkholes





Gaziantep Yavuzlar District



DAMS

Kartalkaya Dam













Sürgü Dam



Atatürk Dam

The third largest rockfill dam in the world. 169 m high and epicentral distance is about 90 km. No damage was reported.



Areal view after the earthquakes



Aerial inspection by the minister

Landslide Dams



Islahiye



Tepehan

LIFELINES









DAMAGED AND COLLAPSED STRUCTURES Reinforced Concrete (RC) Building Damages

The totally collapsed or heavily damaged school, residential and office buildings had mainly 3-14 stories. These structures are designed as moment-resistant frame structures with in-fill walls made of hollow bricks. The failure of RC structures was due to soft-story (weak-floor) situation as it is a common problem resulting in high casualties in earthquakes since 1960. The ground floors of collapsed buildings were mostly used as either shops, depots or garages. As a result, this type of usage constitutes a weak(soft)-floor situation. Furthermore, many buildings had heavy balconies of cantilever type.

The causes of the damage were almost the same as those seen in the previous earthquakes of Turkey. The causes listed below are taken from the reports by the first author on March 13, 1992 Erzincan Earthquake with few amendments and additions from the reports of the Turkish earthquakes occurred after 1992 (Aydan et al., 1998: Aydan et al., 2000a, b; Ulusay et al., 2002; Aydan et al., 2003; Aydan et al., 2012):

Poor workmanship: There are two kinds of poor workmanship. One of them is that the connections of columns and beams were very weak since the connections of steel bars were not properly done. The second one is that the granulometry of the sand and gravel of concrete was very poor and the range was wide. In addition, big chunks of gravel blocked the concrete during casting at locations where steel connections were dense and this resulted in very porous and weak connections. During shaking, it seems that concrete at the connections first failed and this subsequently caused the buckling of steel bars at such locations and rupturing infill hollow brick walls in a brittle sense. As a result, the collapse of buildings ended up in a pancake mode.

Construction negligence and lack of moral: One of the most striking construction negligence was the confinement of concrete at the beam-column connections in-spite of the Turkish design code for seismic regions. As stir-ups were very few at such locations, the failure of concrete was very brittle and it could not absorb the work done by the earthquake forces.

Resonance: Natural periods of collapsed buildings mostly coincided with those of the input waves and this resulted in the resonance-like shaking of structures and their subsequent collapses.

Soft Story: Many buildings had shops at their ground floor. As there are generally no shear-walls to take up the load during earthquakes, the total load is transferred onto the columns. The super structure acts as a top-heavy structure on the columns and in-fill walls, which are in poor contact with columns and beams, has no effect against the earthquake loading and they fail subsequently.

Pounding of adjacent structures: Buildings at the corners of streets were mostly collapsed as a result of pounding with the adjacent building.

Adıyaman









Buildings with problematic issues mentioned above (Photos: Internet)

Views of Building Damages

(Photos: Internet)





Kahramanmaraş



Antakya (Hatay)





Diyarbakır

Gaziantep

Gaziantep





(Photos: Internet)



Kahramanmaraş



Diyarbakır





After



Adıyaman

(Photos: Internet)



Malatya



Adıyaman





Antakya





Antakya

Antakya

SEARCH AND RESCUE





Many countries continue to direct their search and rescue teams to Turkey.

The total number of personnel sent

0	Azerbaijan	725
	USA	159
-	Germany	50
	Albania	63
=	Austria	105
	BAE	
	Belarus	32
	Bosnia and Herzegovina	104
-	Bulgaria	59
	Algeria	89
	Credh Republic	68
12	Clarene	82
-	El Salvador	100
-	Armenia	57
-	Estonia	
	Palestine	35
	France	204
	South Africa	69
:0;	South Korea	118
++:	Georgia	100
	Croatia	40
-	India	201
=	Holland	
-	Iraq	150
	England	77
-10	Iran	80

4	Spain	90
\$	Israel	450
	Sweden	
+	Switzerland	80
	Italy	19
•	Japan	73
- 197	Montenegro	15
	Train	1: 10
	Kazakhistan	60
۲	Kyrgyzstan	63
<u>C</u> .	TRNC	200
۲	Kosovo	30
	Kuwait	46
4.	Libya	55
	Lebanon	72
=	Hungary	156
•	Malta	32
	Mexican	145

TURKEY

	ANA~		-
	11.55	a set	
		-	×.
	Milatya		
Kiturarrara	1 pr	Diyar bekir	
12-	Adiyaman	the	Ĵ.
Gazian	tep Şanlıurfa	~	-3
Kilis	5		1
Hatay	SYRIA) × (IRAQ
12.	- 10°	$\langle \rangle$	
	Mongolia	35	
->-	Moldova	.55	
2=	Uzbekistan	100	
C	Pakistan	52	
-	Poland	76	
	Portugal		
I	Romania	-	
	Russia	150	
	Serbia	27	
	Slovakia		
Æ	Tajikistan	51	
/ 💻	Taiwan	44	
Q	Tunisia	41	
	Turkmenistan	10	
=	Ukraine	87	
	Venezuela	50	
	Greece	41	

(Photos: Internet)

09.02.2023

(Photos: Internet)



Turkish Ambassador thanking to Japanese Rescue Team



Korean Rescue Team



Turkish governmental airplanes played great role in transferring injured people to the hospitals in non-affected cities







Turkish Army, Miners and AFAD played great role in rescue operations

EVACUATION AND IMMEDIATE HOUSING



TCG for evacuation peoples



Tent village at Gaziantep



Kızılay (Red Crescent) offers hot meal.





Traditional Turkish Tents 'Yurts' by Kırgızistan



Field hospital

UNUSUAL OBSERVATIONS

Earthquake lights occurred during the fault rupture process. The authors also observed the same phenomenon during 1999 Kocaeli Earthquake (Aydan et al., 2000a) and in laboratory experiment on rocks.





Barking dogs just before the erathquake





Blue lightning in Hatay





Blue lightning in Adıyaman

Earthquake lights in Kahramanmaraş



A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL

E Mon 04 19:51

City lights are partially off due to automatic shutdown of power system

2-06-2023 Mon 04:19:56

During earthquake

(Photos: Internet)

AN

A





Before the earthquake transparent Balıklıgöl, Şanlı Urfa

After the earthquake muddy Balıklıgöl, Şanlı Urfa



Before the earthquake transparent Gökpınar Lake, Gürün, Sivas (124 km from M7.8 epicenter



After the earthquake muddy Gökpınar Lake, Gürün, Sivas

References

AFAD- TADAS Afet ve Acil Durum Yönetimi Başkanlığı, Deprem Dairesi Başkanlığı, Türkiye İvme Veri Tabanı ve Analiz Sistemi, https://tadas.afad.gov.tr/

Aydan, Ö., 1997. The sesimic charactersitics and the occurence pattern of Turkish Erathquakes. Turkish ErathquakeFoundation, Publication No. TDV/TR 97-007, 40 p.

Aydan, Ö., 2000a. A new stress inference method for the stress state of earth's ceust and its application. Yerbilimleri, 22, 223-236.

Aydan, Ö., 2000b. Assessment of annual strain rate distributions of Turkey frim GPS measurements. Yerbilimleri, 22,. 21-31 (Makalenin başlığı hatalı yazılmış, düzelttim)

Aydan, Ö., 2012. Ground motions and deformations associated with earthquake faulting and their effects on the safety of engineering structures. Encyclopedia of Sustainability Science and Technology, Springer, R. Meyers (Ed.), pp. 3233-3253.

Aydan, Ö., 2016. An integrated approach for the evaluation of measurements and inferences of in-situ stresses. RS2016 Symposium, 7th Int. Symp. on In-situ Rock Stress, Tampere, pp. 38-57.

Aydan, Ö., 2020. Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering: Fundamentals, Vol.1, CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group, 412 p.

Aydan, Ö., 2023. Earthquake Science and Engineering, CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group, 499 p.

Aydan, Ö., Ulusay, R., Kumsar, H., Sönmez, H., Tuncay, E., 1998. A site investigation of Adana-Ceyhan Earthquake of June 27, 1998. Turkish Earthquake Foundation, Publication No. TDV/DR 006-30 November 1998, 131 p *(in English)*

Aydan, Ö., Ulusay, R., Hasgür, Z., Taşkın, B., 2000a. A site Investigation of Kocaeli Earthquake of August 17, 1999. Turkish Earthquake Foundation, Publication No. TDV/DR 08-49, 180 p (*in English*).

Aydan, Ö., Ulusay, R., Kumsar, H., Tuncay, E., 2000b. Site Investigation and Engineering Evaluation of the Düzce-Bolu Earthquake of November 12, 1999. Turkish Earthquake Foundation, TDV/DR 09-51, 300 p *(in English and Turkish).*

Aydan, Ö., Ulusay, R., Miyajima, M., 2003. The Bingöl earthquake of May 1, 2003. Report of the Japan Society of Civil Engineers. Japan Society of Civil Engineers, Tokyo, Japan, 103 p. (http://www.jsce.org.jp/report/19/frame.htm) *(in English)*

Aydan, Ö., Ulusay, R., Kumsar, H., 2012. Site investigation and engineering evaluation of the Van earthquakes of October 23 and November 9, 2011. Turkish Earthquake Foundation, Report No. TDV/DR 015-92, March 2012, İstanbul, 190 p (*English and Turkish*).

Boğaziçi Üniversitesi Kandilli Rasathanesi ve Deprem Araştırma Enstitüsü (KRDAE), Bölgesel Deprem-Tsunami İzleme Ve Değerlendirme Merkezi (BDTİM) http://www.koeri.boun.edu.tr/sismo/2/tr/. Emre, Ö., Duman, T.Y., Özalp, S., Elmacı, H., Olgun, Ş., Şaroğlu, F., 2013, Açıklamalı Türkiye Diri Fay Haritası, Ölçek 1:1.250.000. Maden Tetkik ve Arama Genel Müdürlüğü, Özel Yayın Serisi-30, Ankara. ISBN: 978-605-5310-56-1.

Gulen, L., Pinar, A., Kalafat, D., Ozel, N., Horasan, G., Yilmazer, M., Isikara, A.M., 2002. Surface fault breaks, aftershock distribution and rupture process of the 17 August 1999 Izmit, Turkey earthquake. Bull. Seismological. Soc. Am. 92 (1), 230–244.

Korkmaz, H. 2006. *The Relationship Between Ground Conditions and Earthquake Effect In Antakya.* Coğrafi Bilimler Dergisi, 2006, 4 (2), 49-66 (in Turkish).

MTA, 2023. 06 Şubat 2023 Pazarcık (Kahramanmaraş) (Mw 7,7) ve Elbistan (Kahramanmaraş) (Mw 7,6) depremleri bilgi notu. MTA Jeoloji Etütleri Daiesi Başkanlığı, Ankara 10 s.

Önal, M, 2007. Malatya ve dolaylaronın depremeselliği. Unpublished presentation.

Palutoğlu, M. and Şaşmaz, A. 2017. 20 November 1795 Kahramanmaraş earthquake, Southern Turkey. MTA Dergisi (Bulletin) 155: 191-206 (in Turkish).

Sandal, E.K., and Kandemir, N., 2013. The Relationship between Ground Conditions and Earthquake Based on Seismicity in Kahramanmaraş. 3rd International Geography Symposium - GEOMED 2013, 474-488.

USGS, United States Geological Survey, https://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes

Ulusay, R., Aydan, Ö., 2005. Characteristics and geo-engineering aspects of the 2003 Bingöl (Turkey) earthquake. Journal of Geodynamics, 40, 334-346.

Ulusay, R., Aydan, Ö., Erken, A., Kumsar, H., Tuncay, E., Kaya, Z., 2002. Site Investigation and Engineering Evaluation of the Çay-Eber Earthquake of february 3 2022,. Turkish Earthquake Foundation Research Project No. 02-AP-119, Publication No. . TDV/DR 012-79, 213 s (*in Turkish*).

Ulusay, R., Tuncay, E., Sönmez, H., Gökçeoğlu, C., 2004. An attenuation relationship based on Turkish strong motion data and iso-acceleration map of Turkey. Engineering Geology, 74, 265-291.

Wells, D.L., Coppersmith, K.J., 1994. New Empirical Relationships among Magnitude, Rupture Length, Rupture Width, Rupture Area and Surface Displacement. Bulletin of Seismological Society of America, 84 (4), 974-1002.

Yılmaz, Y., Yiğitbaş, E., and Genç, C., 1993. Ophiolitic and metamorphic assemblages of southeast Anatolia and their significance in the geological evolution of the orogenic belt. Tectonics, 12, p. 1280–1297.